

A Letter from U.S. Scientists on the Climate Impacts of the Energy Permitting Reform Act

Dear Representative,

As U.S. scientists, we write to express our substantial concerns regarding the Energy Permitting Reform Act (“EPRA”) of 2024. We are now in a time of record-breaking temperatures year over year, with new science suggesting that limiting warming to 1.5°C is increasingly out of reach.¹ Legislation that further accelerates global fossil fuel development and global emissions, the key driver of climate devastation, will only result in even more severe climate impacts.

The proponents of the EPRA point to non-peer-reviewed modeling claiming that the renewable energy and transmission provisions will yield *domestic* emissions savings greater than the emissions increases from its fossil fuel provisions, in a ratio ranging from 3:1 to 10:1. However, these estimates fail to take into account the full *global* lifecycle of fossil fuels, namely the burning of exported fossil fuels including LNG, crude oil, refined petroleum and coal. If any of these models fully accounted for the global lifecycle emissions, then the purported emissions benefits of the EPRA would most likely be undermined by increased emissions in other nations.

The emissions savings from renewable energy and transmission improvements are significantly undermined if the fossil fuels they supplant are merely exported and burned elsewhere. Yet, U.S. fossil fuel exports continue trending upward, suggesting that our emissions footprint is indeed being exported. Domestic fossil gas demand is projected to undergo an IRA-supported decline of 16% domestically by 2035 (compared to 2022), but fossil gas production is nonetheless projected to increase by 7%, with gas exports projected to nearly double, driven by expansion of LNG export terminals. Similarly, while domestic oil and petroleum demand is expected to decline by 10%, production is expected to increase by 13%, and exports are expected to increase by 23%.² Further lease sales and fossil fuel infrastructure approvals enabled by the EPRA will only contribute to this trend of shipping our emissions burdens abroad.

This point comes further into focus when considering the LNG projects that would certainly proceed because of the EPRA. There are five major LNG projects currently awaiting final approval from the Department of Energy. The EPRA would mandate a decision on these projects within 90 days of the bill’s enactment. Combined, these five projects would constitute a 40% increase in LNG processing capacity above what is currently in operation or under construction and would result in lifecycle emissions of 616 million metric tons of CO₂e (mmt CO₂e) annually, equivalent to 165 coal-fired power plants. These five projects completely negate the emissions benefits of 50 major clean electricity transmission projects.³

The myopic policy focus on demand-side impacts of fossil fuels rather than considering demand together with supply-side policies is exemplified by the persistent notion among supporters of the EPRA that “what we do not produce here will simply be produced elsewhere.” For example,

this premise underlies a deeply flawed modeling analysis just completed by the think tank RMI which *only* assessed the domestic transmission (i.e. demand-side) reductions of the EPRA, while simply ignoring all other potential global emissions increases. This approach has proven to be neither factually nor historically accurate with respect to emissions responses to such policy changes.

In contrast, research by the Stockholm Environment Institute found that restricting leasing of fossil fuels from federal lands and waters would lead to global carbon emissions reductions. This is in part because energy markets respond to a reduction in fossil fuel supply through higher prices, which in turn lowers consumption.⁴ Global oil consumption drops by about half a barrel for each barrel of oil not produced.⁵ Rather than the reduction in fossil fuel supply leading to production elsewhere, increasing supply, as would be enabled by the EPRA, *reduces* the price of fossil fuels, which disincentivizes importing countries from exploring clean alternatives.

In short, there is a fundamental conflict between reducing fossil fuel demand and simultaneously approving long-lifespan extraction and infrastructure projects that increase fossil fuel supply. Experts have repeatedly warned that policies that decrease fossil fuel supply *and* demand must go hand in hand to effectively reduce emissions and allow for a transition away from fossil fuels. Reducing U.S. fossil fuel demand without reducing supply will significantly undercut any domestic emissions reductions coming from demand-side policies.⁶ For example, the total annual emissions from 17 major fossil fuel projects approved by the Biden administration (1,642 mmt CO₂e annually) greatly exceed the annual domestic emissions reductions projected to result from the IRA and other climate policy (879 mmt CO₂e annually) in 2030 as modeled by the U.S. Energy Information Administration.⁷

It is not surprising, therefore, that many of the modeled emissions projections used during the debate regarding the Inflation Reduction Act are not being realized, in part because fossil fuel emissions — domestic and global — continue to rise. In failing to account for the emissions from fossil fuel exports, modeled results claiming emissions benefits of the EPRA are likewise misleading and undercut by planned and pending fossil fuel infrastructure projects.

As long as fossil fuel extraction is enabled, fossil fuel companies will continue purchasing leases, building new infrastructure, and producing. As fossil fuel demand declines in the power sector due to replacement by renewables, the fossil fuel industry pushes to deploy its products in other venues to prolong its life. Between 2022 and 2035, projections indicate that declining fossil gas demand in the power sector will be offset by increases in other industrial sectors — LNG exports, the production of plastics and the refining of other petrochemicals.⁸ The United States is already now the number one exporter of petroleum products and the number one exporter of fossil gas, a trend that will be further locked in under the EPRA.⁹

As such, fossil fuel production does not fall as demand falls in the power sector, despite what demand-side-policy-only proponents would contend. Production is merely funneled elsewhere.

There is simply no evidence to date that bringing renewables online will hinder or arrest increased fossil fuel production. One merely needs to take the plastics industry as an example. Currently, 12% of global crude oil demand and 8.5% of fossil gas demand goes to plastics production.¹⁰ But the interlinked fossil fuel and plastic industries plan to double or triple plastic production by 2050,¹¹ despite the growing scientific certainty regarding the need to phase out fossil fuels. On the current trajectory, the plastics industry is expected to account for half the growth in oil demand by 2050, when the plastics sector will account for 20% of total oil consumption and consume up to 26% of the global carbon budget.¹²

The “escape hatch” for the fossil fuel industry—that is, exports and petrochemicals—comes at the expense of community health and welfare. LNG export terminals emit significant conventional air pollution associated with serious health harms, currently estimated at 60 premature deaths and \$957 million in total health costs per year. If all planned LNG terminals and expansion projects were built, costs would rise to 149 premature deaths and \$2.33 billion in health costs per year, with harms largely centered on the Gulf Coast region.¹³

These harms are disproportionately distributed—Black and Hispanic Americans would experience air pollution from LNG terminals at 151-170% and 110-129% the rate of white Americans, respectively. Similar is the case with petrochemicals.¹⁴ A feature of the notorious “Cancer Alley,” there are more than 100 pollution-spewing petrochemical facilities along the 85-mile stretch along the Mississippi River from Baton Rouge to New Orleans, an area home to high concentrations of Black residents with elevated incidences of cancer and other diseases.¹⁵

The EPRA would sanction and perpetuate such harms, with the bill potentially requiring that Department of Energy use outdated scientific studies to cursorily approve additional fossil fuel infrastructure. The EPRA would force DOE to restart approvals using deeply flawed climate and economic information and without any environmental justice analysis. Thus, not only would the EPRA expedite LNG project approvals, but it would do so without the backing of the best and latest science.

The United States undoubtedly needs to accelerate the deployment of critical clean energy and transmission infrastructure. However, the inclusion of numerous provisions that expand the fossil fuel buildout in the United States completely undermines this otherwise worthwhile aim. The climate crisis demands an immediate and rapid reduction in greenhouse emissions *globally*, so it is not enough to reduce emissions domestically while exporting our emissions footprint abroad. We strongly urge Congress to reject this proposal, and to opt instead for clean energy reforms that constitute real solutions, not ones bound to fossil fuel industry giveaways.

Thank you for your consideration,

Scientists joining this letter do so in their individual capacities and not on behalf of the institutions with which they are affiliated.

Early Signatories

Rose Z. Abramoff, PhD
Director
Wintergreen Earth Science

Robert Howarth, PhD
Atkinson Professor of Ecology &
Environmental Biology
Cornell University

Mark Z. Jacobson, PhD
Professor of Civil and Environmental
Engineering
Stanford University

Daniel Kammen, PhD
Lau Distinguished Professor of
Sustainability
University of California, Berkeley

Farhana Sultana, PhD
Professor
Syracuse University

Aradhna Tripathi, PhD
Professor
University of California, Los Angeles

Additional Signatories

Thomas E Adams, III, PhD
Senior Hydrometeorological Hazard Advisor
U.S. Agency for International Development

Benjamin Allen, PhD
Associate Professor of Mathematics
Emmanuel College

Elizabeth Allison, PhD
Professor of Ecology & Religion
California Institute of Integral Studies

Jonathan Andelson, PhD
Rosenfield Professor of Social Science
Grinnell College

R. Scott Anderson, PhD
Professor Emeritus
Northern Arizona University

JL Andrepont, MPP, PhDc
Senior Policy Analyst
350.org

Alli Antar, PhD
Assistant Professor
Baylor College of Medicine

Tyler Anthony, PhD
Senior Environmental Scientist
California Department of Water Resources

Lillian Aoki, PhD
Asst. Professor of Environmental Sciences
University of Oregon

Juan Artes Vivancos, PhD
Professor
University of Massachusetts, Lowell

Mathew Barlow, PhD
Professor of Climate Science
University of Massachusetts, Lowell

Phoebe Barnard, PhD
Founding CEO
Stable Planet Alliance

William Beckett, MPH, MD
Mount Auburn Hospital, Emeritus Medical
Staff
American Thoracic Society

Miranda Bernard, PhD
Science Policy Fellow
American Association for the Advancement
of Science (AAAS)

Diana Bernstein, PhD
Assistant Professor and Climate Scientist
University of Southern Mississippi

Neil Bernstein, PhD
Emeritus Professor
Mount Mercy University and University of
Iowa

Peggy Ann Berry, PhD
Executive Director
RN

Curtis Bradley, MS
Senior Scientist
Center for Biological Diversity

Barbara W. Brandom, MS, MD
Professor of Pediatric Anesthesiology
(retired)
University of Pittsburgh

Paula Braveman, MPH, MD
Professor Emeritus, School of Medicine,
University of California, San Francisco

Claire Broome, MD
Assistant Surgeon General US Public Health
Service (retired)
Emory University

Clair Brown, PhD
Professor
University of California, Berkeley

David A. Brunetti, BS Chemistry and BA
Biology
Senior Manufacturing Chemist

John Bruno, PhD
Distinguished Professor
UNC Chapel Hill

Robert Coats, PhD
Research Assoc.
University of California, Davis

Anne C. Cohen, PhD
retired UCLA Professor
Fellow Calif. Academy of Sciences

Susan G. Conard, PhD
Faculty Affiliate
George Mason University

Margie Chen, MD
Physician
University of California, San Francisco

Tierra Curry, MS
Senior Scientist
Center for Biological Diversity

Matthew d'Alessio, PhD
Professor
California State University, Northridge

Carlos Davidson, PhD
Professor Emeritus of Environmental
Studies
San Francisco State University

Christina DiGiulio, BA
Environmental Chemist
Physicians for Social Responsibility of
Pennsylvania

Andy Dobson, PhD
Professor of Ecology and Evolutionary
Biology
Princeton University

Christine Dolph, PhD
Research Scientist, Department of Ecology,
Evolution and Behavior
University of Minnesota

Timothy Donaghy, PhD
Research Director
Greenpeace USA

Andrea Dutton, PhD
Professor
University of Wisconsin-Madison

Timothy Eaton, PhD
Associate Professor
Queens College, CUNY

Mary Elizabeth, MS
R.E.H.S. Science Educator
Stockton Unified

Guillaume Falmagne, PhD
Postdoctoral Researcher
Princeton University

Gabriel Filippelli, PhD
Chancellor's Professor
Indiana University

Mary Finley-Brook, PhD
Associate Professor of Geography,
Environment and Sustainability
University of Richmond

John Fleming, PhD
Senior Scientist
Center for Biological Diversity

Dargan M. W. Frierson, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Atmospheric and Climate
Science, University of Washington

Jade d'Alpoim Guedes, PhD
Assistant Professor
University of Washington

Ali Hadjarian, PhD
Climate Activist and Data Scientist

Leigh Anne Harden, PhD
Associate Professor
Benedictine University

Philip Haves, PhD
Retired
American Society of Heating, Refrigerating,
and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE)

Jacob Hileman, PhD
Assistant Professor
West Virginia University

Harry Hochheiser, PhD
Professor, Biomedical Informatics
University of Pittsburgh

Karen Holl, PhD
Professor of Environmental Studies
University of California, Santa Cruz

Mark Huisling, PhD
Professor
University of California, Davis

David W. Inouye, PhD
Professor Emeritus
University of Maryland

Kyle Isaacson, PhD
Research Scientist
Phospholutions

Rachel Jabaily, PhD
Associate Professor
Colorado College

Laura L. Jackson, PhD
Director and Professor
University of Northern Iowa

Benjamin Johnson, PhD
Assistant Professor
Iowa State University

Steven L. Johnson, PhD, MBA
Associate Professor of Commerce
University of Virginia

Sarah Kavassalis, PhD
Assistant Professor of Climate and
Chemistry
Harvey Mudd College

David Klein, PhD
Professor Emeritus of Mathematics
California State University, Northridge

Jennifer Krauel, PhD
Adjunct Assistant Professor
South Dakota State University

Dennis V. Lavrov, PhD
Professor of Ecology, Evolution, and
Organismal Biology
Iowa State University

Michael E. Loik, PhD
Professor
University of California, Santa Cruz

Jonathan K. London, PhD
Professor
University of California, Davis

Philip Loring, PhD
Global Director, Human Dimensions
Science
The Nature Conservancy

Roger Luckmann, MPH, MD
Professor Emeritus of Family Medicine and
Community Health
UMass Chan Medical School

Edward Maibach, PhD
Distinguished University Professor and
Director, George Mason University Center
for Climate Change Communication

Sarmistha Majumdar, PhD
Professor
Texas Southern University

Kyle Manley, PhD
Postdoctoral Research Fellow
Cooperative Institute for Research in
Environmental Sciences

Kathleen McAfee, PhD
Professor
San Francisco State University

Paulina Mena, PhD
Professor of Biology
Central College

Roberta L. Millstein, PhD
Professor Emerit
University of California, Davis

Chad Monk, MPH
Senior VP, Programs & Public Policy
National Health Foundation, Co-Chair of LA
County's Community Prevention and
Population Health Task Force

Stephen Mulkey, PhD
Lecturer, President Emeritus
Unity Environmental University,
University of Florida

James Mullahoo, MS
PhD Student
California Institute of Technology

Dustin Mulvaney, PhD
Professor
San José State University

Sarah E. Myhre, PhD
Program Director for Climate Advocacy and
Democracy Reform
Real Progress Foundation

Ted Neal, PhD
Professor
University of Iowa

Cheryl Nenn, MS
Riverkeeper
Milwaukee Riverkeeper

Robert Newman, PhD
Professor
University of North Dakota

Peter Nightingale, PhD
Professor Emeritus
University of Rhode Island

Marit Nilsen-Hamilton, PhD
Professor
Iowa State University

Susan Nossal, PhD
Scientist
University of Wisconsin, Madison

Philip Nyhus, PhD
Professor of Environmental Studies
Colby College

Joseph Daniel Ortiz, PhD
Professor and Chair, Dept. of Earth Sciences
Kent State University

Nathan Glen Phillips, PhD
Professor
Boston University

Steven Piantadosi, PhD
Professor
University of California, Berkeley

Heather Price, PhD
Chemistry Professor
North Seattle College

Peter H. Raven, PhD
President, Missouri Botanical Garden,
Emeritus
American Association for the Advancement
of Science (AAAS)

William J. Ripple, PhD
Distinguished Professor
Oregon State University

Brad E. Rosenheim, PhD
Professor
University of South Florida

Stacia Ryder, PhD
Assistant Professor
Utah State University

Ted Schettler, MD, MPH
Science Director
Science and Environmental Health Network

Juliet Schor, PhD
Professor of Sociology
Boston College

Ulrike Seibt, PhD
Professor
University of California, Los Angeles

Mack Shelley, PhD
Professor
Iowa State University

Daniel Smith, PhD, RN
Assistant Professor
University at Buffalo

Susan Solomon, PhD
Professor
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Yvonne Sorovacu, MS
Environmental Scientist
Protect PT

Greg J. Spooner, PhD
CEO/Principal
Gain Consulting Services

Sandra Steingraber, PhD
Senior Scientist
Science and Environmental Health Network

Jim R Stewart, PhD
Retired Professor of Physics

John Stolz, PhD
Professor
Duquesne University

Andrew Szasz, PhD
Professor Emeritus, Department of
Environmental Studies
University of California, Santa Cruz

Varykina Thackray, PhD
Professor
University of California, San Diego

Gareth Trubl, PhD
Research Scientist
Lawrence Livermore National Lab

Michael Tullius, PhD
Project Scientist
UCLA School of Medicine

Jess Tyler, MS
Staff Scientist
Center for Biological Diversity

Donn J Viviani, PhD
Board President
Climate Protection and Restoration Initiative

Julia Walsh, MS, MD
Professor (Retired) of Public Health
University of California, Berkeley

Celine Wang, BA
Astrophysics PhD Student
Boston University

Stephen Wheeler, PhD
Professor Emeritus
University of California, Davis

Shaye Wolf, PhD
Climate Science Director
Center for Biological Diversity

Dawn J. Wright, PhD
Professor of Geography and Oceanography
Oregon State University

¹ Bertram, C. et al., Feasibility of peak temperature targets in light of institutional constraints, Nature Climate Change (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02073-4>

² Oil Change International, Biden’s Fossil Fuel Fail: How U.S. Oil and Gas Supply Rises Under the Inflation Reduction Act, Exacerbating Environmental Injustice (2023), https://priceofoil.org/content/uploads/2023/11/Fossil-Fuel-Fail-report_web.pdf

³ Symons Public Affairs, The Manchin-Barrasso Permitting Bill: Assessing the Climate Impacts of the LNG Title (2024), <https://www.symonspa.com/post/assessing-the-climate-impacts-of-the-manchin-barrasso-bill-s-lng-title>

⁴ Erickson, P. & M. Lazarus, Would constraining US fossil fuel production affect global CO2 emissions? A case study of US leasing policy, Climatic Change (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2152-z>

⁵ Erickson, P. et al., Limiting fossil fuel production as the next big step in climate policy, Nature Climate Change (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0337-0>

⁶ Donaghy, T., Real Climate Leadership: Why the Next President Must Prioritize a Fossil Fuel Phase Out, Greenpeace, Oil Change International, Labor Network for Sustainability, DFP, Honor the Earth, and Indigenous Environmental Network (2019), <https://www.greenpeace.org/usa/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Greenpeace-Report-Real-Climate-Leadership.pdf>; Green, Fergus and Richard Denniss, Cutting with both arms of the scissors: the economic and political case for restrictive supply-side climate policies, Climatic Change (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2162-x>; York, Richard and Shannon E. Bell, Energy transitions or additions? Why a transition from fossil fuels requires more than the growth of renewable energy, Energy Research & Social Science (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2019.01.008>; Prest, Brian C., Partners, Not Rivals: The Power of Parallel Supply-Side and Demand-Side Climate Policy, Resources for the Future (2022), <https://www.rff.org/publications/reports/partners-not-rivals-the-power-of-parallel-supply-side-and-demand-side-climate-policy/>; Paul, Mark and Lina Moe, An Economist’s Case for Restrictive Supply Side Policies: Ten Policies to Manage the Fossil Fuel Transition, Climate and Community Project (2023), <https://www.climateandcommunity.org/economists-case-end-fossil-fuels>

⁷ Wolf, S. et al., Out-Polluting Progress: Carbon Emissions From Biden-Approved Fossil Fuel Projects Undermine CO2 Cuts From Inflation Reduction Act, Center for Biological Diversity (2023), https://www.biologicaldiversity.org/programs/climate_law_institute/pdfs/Out-Polluting-Progress-Report-2023.pdf

⁸ Oil Change International, Biden’s Fossil Fuel Fail: How U.S. Oil and Gas Supply Rises Under the Inflation Reduction Act, Exacerbating Environmental Injustice (2023), https://priceofoil.org/content/uploads/2023/11/Fossil-Fuel-Fail-report_web.pdf

⁹ U.S. EIA, The United States exported more LNG than other country in the first half of 2023 (Sep 12, 2023), <https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=60361>

¹⁰ Karali, N. et al., Climate Impact of Primary Plastic Production (2024),
<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/12s624vf>

¹¹ Bauer, F. et al., Mapping GHG emissions and prospects for renewable energy in the chemical industry, 39 Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering (2023),
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2022.100881>

¹² Karali, N. et al., Climate Impact of Primary Plastic Production (2024),
<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/12s624vf>

¹³ Heureaux-Torres, J. et al., Permit to Kill: Potential Health and Economic Impacts from U.S. LNG Export Terminal Permitted Emissions, Greenpeace and Sierra Club (2024),
<https://www.greenpeace.org/usa/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/Permit-to-Kill.pdf>

¹⁴ Heureaux-Torres, J. et al., Permit to Kill: Potential Health and Economic Impacts from U.S. LNG Export Terminal Permitted Emissions, Greenpeace and Sierra Club (2024),
<https://www.greenpeace.org/usa/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/Permit-to-Kill.pdf>

¹⁵ Saha, R. et al., Liquefying the Gulf Coast: A Cumulative Impact Assessment of LNG Buildout in Louisiana and Texas (2024),
https://scholarworks.umt.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1015&context=environstudies_pubs